

Restaurant business valuation: multiples, discounted cash flow and intangible assets

 By **Diego F. Parra** · Updated 2026-07-09 · Business Model

MASTERRESTAURANT®

White paper

Restaurant business valuation: multiples, discounted cash flow and intangible assets

Method proven in 8,400+ restaurants · 43 countries

masterrestaurant.com

QUICK VERDICT

Verdict: a restaurant business is valued well when you cross three lenses, not one. The EBITDA multiple gives a fast market price (typical range 2x–4x for a profitable independent full service, up to 6x–8x for brands with proven contribution); discounted cash flow (DCF) reveals intrinsic value when the business has a credible projection; and intangible assets—brand, location, contracts, customer data—explain the premium a serious buyer pays above EBITDA. The mistake I see again and again: owners asking 5x on an inflated EBITDA that confuses owner's draw with real profit. Diego F. Parra and Masterrestaurant recommend valuing on normalized EBITDA (with the operator's market salary inside) and cross-checking all three methods: if they diverge more than 30%, the business isn't ready to sell—it's ready to be fixed.

 **White Paper** · Technical document · C-Suite & multilateral banking · 12 min read · 2026-07-09

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF MASTERRESTAURANT® — EXCLUSIVE FOR SECTOR LEADERS

Valuing a restaurant in 2026 is no longer a napkin art. The global foodservice market grew and matured: Asia-Pacific already holds 40% of global sales (Euromonitor International, 2026) and the United States runs roughly 720,000-730,000 payroll establishments (Toast, 2025). That volume draws capital —funds, family offices, multi-unit operators— that no longer buys 'a pretty restaurant' but a cash flow with a defensible multiple.

The problem is that most owners don't know what their business is worth until a buyer shows up, and by then they value with founder emotion, not investor arithmetic. A restaurant with no normalized EBITDA, no clear unit economics and no separation between brand and operator is, financially, invaluable in the worst sense: nobody knows what they're buying. This Masterrestaurant white paper breaks valuation into three rigorous methods and shows how Diego F. Parra's framework integrates them so an owner reaches the negotiation table with a number that survives due diligence.

SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISON

Side-by-side comparison

	MARKET MULTIPLES	DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW (DCF)
Calculation base	✗ Normalized EBITDA × multiple (2x–8x by segment)	✓ Present value of 5-year future flows + terminal value
Speed	✗ Hours: works as a first price anchor	✓ Days: needs projection and discount rate (WACC)
Risk sensitivity	✗ Medium: the multiple compresses risk into one factor	✓ High: a 12%–20% WACC penalizes volatile businesses
Best for	✗ Profitable full service with 3+ years of history	✓ Dark kitchens, foodtech and expanding brands
Common trap	✗ EBITDA without owner's salary → multiple on smoke	✓ Optimistic sales projection with no real cash base
Typical value range	✗ USD 150k–800k for one independent location	✓ ±25% vs. the multiple if the projection is credible

Chapter 1 — How much is a restaurant really worth in 2026?

A profitable independent restaurant is valued today at 2x to 4x its normalized EBITDA, and up to 6x–8x if it carries a brand with proven contribution and replicable units.

That multiple is the market's quick thermometer, but it is not the price: it is the starting point. The capital buying in this sector multiplied because foodservice grew sophisticated —Asia-Pacific already holds 40% of global sales per Euromonitor International (2026), and the United States runs roughly 720,000-730,000 payroll establishments per Toast (2025). The mistake I see again and again: the owner values with the founder's emotion and arrives at the table with a number that due diligence dismantles within the first hour. At Masterrestaurant, Diego F. Parra insists the multiple is defended with clean EBITDA, not with the story of how much it cost to open

the doors. The EBITDA multiple gives the market price in minutes: take the trailing twelve-month EBITDA and multiply it by the segment range (2x–4x for a profitable independent full service, 6x–8x for brands with proven contribution).

Chapter 2 — The EBITDA multiple: fast, but it only looks backward

Its limit is structural: it looks in the rearview mirror. A business with a flat track record values well on a multiple because the buyer extrapolates what already exists. But it punishes the one with growth traction, because twelve months of cash do not capture the curve. In the United States, close to 70% of locations are independents per the National Restaurant Association, and most trade at the floor of the range precisely because their reported EBITDA carries the owner's personal expenses. The multiple does not lie; what lies is an un-normalized EBITDA. Before applying any factor, you must rebuild the real cash of a business run by a third party. Discounted cash flow (DCF) values what the multiple ignores: projected cash flows, brought to present value with a rate that reflects risk. Here the business with traction wins. If a limited-service operator opened delivery — and 65% of them already offer it per the National Restaurant Association (2025)— and projects growth in its off-premise ticket, the DCF captures that future flow twelve months of EBITDA cannot see.

Chapter 3 — The DCF: it values the future the multiple cannot see

The method demands discipline: project 5 years of realistic cash, choose a defensible discount rate (12%–18% for an independent without scale) and compute an honest terminal value. A DCF inflated with 20% annual growth and no operating plan is a napkin with decimals. Diego F. Parra uses it as a counterweight to the multiple: if the DCF exceeds the multiple by 30% or more, the value sits in unexecuted growth, and that is negotiated with an earn-out, not with the closing price. Intangibles show up in neither the multiple nor the DCF by default: you must value them separately and add them as a premium. A location that generates 75% of its traffic off-premise per the National Restaurant Association is worth more than its EBITDA, because that demand survives a change of ownership. So does a customer database with measurable repurchase, a registered trademark or a below-market lease.

Chapter 4 — Intangible assets: the premium neither method captures by default

Some 41% of full-service operators already sell more off-premise than in 2019 per the National Restaurant Association / Technomic (2025): that installed capacity is an asset, not an accident. The classic mistake is giving these intangibles away because they are not on the balance sheet. At Masterrestaurant we value each one with its own attributable flow and defend it in due diligence with data, not with narrative. The brand that is not measured gets undervalued. Normalizing EBITDA is the point where the negotiation is decided, because it can move the final value by 30% to 50%. To normalize means rebuilding the cash as if a third party ran the business: strip out the personal expenses charged to the restaurant, remove non-recurring income and —the heaviest adjustment— replace the owner's labor with the real market salary of a general manager. An owner who pays himself no salary inflates EBITDA with his own free labor; a buyer who will have to hire someone discounts it immediately.

Chapter 5 — Normalizing EBITDA: this is where you win or lose 30%–50%

With about 9% of national employment in Mexico per CANIRAC / INEGI, the sector is full of family businesses where personal cash and business cash blend together. Diego F. Parra begins every valuation here: a normalized EBITDA of \$180,000 at a 3x multiple is \$540,000; the same business un-normalized, reporting \$120,000, is

worth \$360,000. The gap is \$180,000 of accounting discipline. Correct valuation crosses all three lenses instead of betting on one: the multiple for market price, DCF for the future and intangible valuation for the premium. None alone is enough. The multiple without DCF punishes growth; DCF without the multiple detaches from reality; both without intangibles give the brand away. Diego F. Parra's framework integrates them into a triangulated range: if multiple, DCF and intangibles converge, the number is defensible; if they diverge, the gap is the negotiation agenda. The sector rewards solidity: in India the organized segment will reach 52.9% of the market by 2028 with a 13.2% CAGR per the NRAI (IFSR 2024), and that capital buys triangulated flows, not pretty restaurants.

Chapter 6 — The Masterrestaurant framework: cross all three lenses, don't pick one

The concrete action: normalize your EBITDA today, project five years of honest cash and list your intangibles with their attributable flow before the first buyer appears. The multiple looks backward (trailing 12-month EBITDA); the DCF looks forward (projected flows). A flat-history business is worth more by multiple; one with growth traction is worth more by DCF. Intangible assets don't appear in either by default: they must be valued separately. A location with 75% off-premise traffic (National Restaurant Association) or a customer database with measurable repeat purchase add a premium over the base multiple. EBITDA normalization is where the negotiation is won or lost: removing the owner's real salary, personal expenses charged to the business and non-recurring income can shift the final value by 30%–50%.

POINT BY POINT

Multiples vs. DCF: criterion-by-criterion analysis

ESTIMATION SPEED

A · MARKET MULTIPLES Multiples: hours,
a first actionable number

B · MASTERRESTAURANT DCF: days,
needs projection and WACC

Verdict: Multiples to anchor fast; DCF to defend the number at the table.

FIDELITY TO THE FUTURE

A · MARKET MULTIPLES Multiples: look at
past EBITDA

B · MASTERRESTAURANT DCF: captures
growth traction

Verdict: DCF wins for businesses with credible projection; multiples for stable ones.

DUE DILIGENCE RESILIENCE

A · MARKET MULTIPLES Multiples: fragile if EBITDA isn't normalized

B · MASTERRESTAURANT DCF: fragile if the projection is pure optimism

Verdict: Tie: both collapse without clean data. Normalization is the real lever.

INTANGIBLE CAPTURE

A · MARKET MULTIPLES Multiples: don't see them by default

B · MASTERRESTAURANT DCF: can incorporate them via future flows

Verdict: Neither alone is enough: value brand, location and data apart and add them.

SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISON

Multiples method MARKET PRICE

- ✗ Fast and comparable: uses real segment transactions
- ✗ QSR range: quick service holds over 60% of US restaurant sales (Restroworks, 2025) and usually pays higher multiples for scalability
- ✗ Requires normalized EBITDA with the operator's market salary inside
- ✗ Fails when the business has no clean comparables (unique concept)

Discounted cash flow (DCF) method MASTERESTAURANT

- ✓ Captures the intrinsic value of growing businesses
- ✓ Ideal for dark kitchens: North America already holds over 40% of the virtual kitchen market (Global Growth Insights, 2025)
- ✓ Depends on cash projection quality and chosen WACC
- ✓ Harshly penalizes volatility and revenue concentration

SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISON

Side-by-side comparison

	MARKET MULTIPLES	DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW (DCF)
Calculation base	✗ Normalized EBITDA × multiple (2x–8x by segment)	✓ Present value of 5-year future flows + terminal value
Speed	✗ Hours: works as a first price anchor	✓ Days: needs projection and discount rate (WACC)
Risk sensitivity	✗ Medium: the multiple compresses risk into one factor	✓ High: a 12%–20% WACC penalizes volatile businesses
Best for	✗ Profitable full service with 3+ years of history	✓ Dark kitchens, foodtech and expanding brands
Common trap	✗ EBITDA without owner's salary → multiple on smoke	✓ Optimistic sales projection with no real cash base
Typical value range	✗ USD 150k–800k for one independent location	✓ ±25% vs. the multiple if the projection is credible

THE NUMBERS THAT MATTER

Figures that frame gastronomic valuation in 2026

40%

of global foodservice sales are held by Asia-Pacific in 2025

60%

of US restaurant sales are generated by QSR

40%

of the virtual kitchen market is
held by North America in 2025

26%

of operators use AI tools in 2026 (a valuation lever)

75%

of traffic operates off-premise: a
location and channel intangible

70%

of US restaurant locations
are independent (non-chain)

VISUALIZATION

The numbers, visualized

of global foodservice sales are held by Asia-Pacific in 2025



of US restaurant sales are generated by QSR



of the virtual kitchen market is held by North America in 2025



of operators use AI tools in 2026 (a valuation lever)



of traffic operates off-premise: a location and channel intangible



of US restaurant locations are independent (non-chain)



Sources: [Euromonitor International 2026](#) · [Restroworks 2025](#) · [Global Growth Insights 2025](#) · [National Restaurant Association 2026](#)

Chart by [masterrestaurant.com](#)

REAL CASE

"I came in asking 5x on 'profit.' Diego normalized the EBITDA: my operator salary wasn't inside and there was USD 90k of personal expenses charged to the business. Real EBITDA dropped from USD 320k to USD 180k. But when we valued brand, location and repeat-purchase data separately, the DCF returned an intangibles premium the buyer accepted. I sold at USD 640k —not the fantasy USD 1.6M, not the bare-multiple USD 540k. The number survived due diligence without a single crack."

— Two-location full service operator, Masterrestaurant framework client

HOW TO APPLY IT IN YOUR RESTAURANT

How to value your restaurant business in 90 days (Masterrestaurant roadmap)

1 Days 1-20 · Normalize EBITDA

Rebuild 24 months of income statements. Put in the operator's market salary, strip out personal expenses, isolate non-recurring income. This normalized EBITDA is the only honest base for any multiple or DCF.

2

Days 21-45 · Apply all three methods

Compute the market multiple with segment comparables, build a 5-year DCF with a realistic WACC (12%–20%) and value intangibles separately. If the three diverge by more than 30%, there's a structural problem to fix before selling.

3

Days 46-70 · Reinforce intangibles

Document the brand, formalize lease and supplier contracts, measure repeat purchase in your customer base, package the Restaurant Model Canvas. Each data-proven intangible is a defensible premium over base EBITDA.

4

Days 71-90 · Prepare the due diligence package

Assemble the data room: normalized P&L, unit economics by channel, contracts, retention metrics and an audited projection. A clean package doesn't just raise the price: it speeds the close and kills downward renegotiations.

FAQ

Frequently asked questions on restaurant valuation

What EBITDA multiple is an independent restaurant worth in 2026?

A profitable independent full service with 3+ years of history moves between 2x and 4x normalized EBITDA. Brands with proven contribution, clear unit economics and scalability can reach 6x–8x. QSR, which generates over 60% of sector sales (Restroworks, 2025), usually pays higher multiples for its repeatability.

When is DCF better than multiples?

Discounted cash flow is better when the business has credible growth and a solid cash projection: dark kitchens, foodtech and expanding brands. North America already holds over 40% of the virtual kitchen market (Global Growth Insights, 2025), a segment where the historical multiple undervalues the future.

How are a restaurant's intangible assets valued?

Intangibles —brand, location, contracts, customer data— are valued apart from EBITDA. A location with high off-premise traffic (75% of traffic runs off-premise, National Restaurant Association) or a measurable repeat-purchase base translate into a defensible premium over the base multiple, as long as they're documented with data.

Why is my restaurant worth less than I think?

Almost always because EBITDA isn't normalized: the owner's salary isn't inside, personal expenses are charged to the business or non-recurring income inflates the figure. Normalizing can shift value 30%–50%. With 70% of US locations independent (National Restaurant Association), this trap is the rule, not the exception.

DATA & SOURCES

Sector data 2026 (official sources)

Verifiable industry benchmarks from official, non-commercial sources (government, industry associations, market research) - not competitors.

Metric	Benchmark 2026	Source
Restaurantes en México	más de 641.000 establecimientos (12,2% de los negocios del país, 2024)	INEGI y CANIRAC — Conociendo la Industria Restaurantera 2024
Empleo y peso en el PIB de la industria restaurantera en México	2,1 millones de empleos directos y ~1% del PIB (2024)	CANIRAC — Industria Restaurantera de México 2024
Tamaño del mercado global de foodservice de consumo	USD 3,36 billones en 2025 (+4% interanual)	Euromonitor International — World Market for Consumer Foodservice 2026
Participación de Asia-Pacífico en las ventas globales de foodservice	40% del total global en 2025	Euromonitor International — World Market for Consumer Foodservice 2026
Uno de cada cinco dólares de foodservice global se gastó en delivery	~20% del gasto de foodservice fue delivery en 2025	Euromonitor International — foodservice delivery 2025
Proyección del mercado global de foodservice a 2030	de USD 4,34 billones (2025) a USD 7,61 billones (2030), CAGR 11,89%	Mordor Intelligence — Food Service Market Report 2025